College Lake Integrated Resources Management Project Project Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grant/Loan Funding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Permitting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Planning and Design Construction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
College Lake Project Final EIR
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CEQA Process Overview

Dec 2017

DEIR Public Review
Apr 17 – June 21 2019

Oct 2019
Responses to Comments Document
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Final EIR: Draft EIR plus Responses to Comments Document
Comments on Draft EIR

11 Agencies & Organizations, 18 Individuals
~200 Comments

- Caltrans
- Central Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Santa Cruz County
  - Flood Control and Water Conservation District - Zone 7
  - Vector Control
- College Lake Reclamation District
- Monterey Audubon Society
- Santa Cruz Bird Club
- Santa Clara Valley Audubon Society
- Sierra Club
- Watsonville Wetlands Watch
- N. Adams
- M. Bannister
- J. Bracovich
- C. Banovac
- J. Busch
- J. Diffenbaugh
- V. Fenner-Evans
- J. Greatorex
- C. Jensen
- S. Kauffman
- A. Key
- L. Lewit
- T Marci
- M. Rambo
- F. Remde
- M. Sptizer
- E. Wagner
- D. Watkins
Comments on Draft EIR

• Biological Resources
  – Adaptive Management Plan
  – Waters and wetlands
  – Birds and other wildlife

• Alternatives

• Hydrology and Water Quality

• Agriculture

• Other
Adaptive Management Planning

“A framework and flexible decision-making process for ongoing knowledge acquisition, monitoring and evaluation leading to continuous improvements in implementation of a project to achieve specific objectives.”

Board committed to AMP in 2014 to mitigate impacts to waterfowl, habitat, other species
Adaptive Management Plan

Comments: AMP Development

- **Stakeholder involvement**
- **Funding**
- **Commitment to implement**

Response

Master Response on AMP (RTC Section 3.1.1)

- Development process
- Potential Ad Hoc Committee (similar to BMP Update)
- PV Water committed to implementing, funding AMP

*Text in light blue represents comments on the Draft EIR*
Adaptive Management Plan

**AMP Content**

- **EIR should include more detail on AMP**
- **Objectives to protect wetlands, wildlife**
- **Other (suggested content: baseline studies, action triggers)**
- **AMP development during permitting, design**
- **Project operations not expected to result in net loss of wetlands/waters**
- **Four mitigation measures to address impacts to birds, special status species during O&M**
  - 1 (invasive fish species control plan) would be implemented through AMP
Wetlands and Waters of the US, State

- **Analysis of wetlands deficient (area on east side of lake is not farmed wetland; field survey data used inadequate)**

- Purpose of aquatic resources delineation: define boundaries between wetland/waters and upland. Fieldwork conducted per US Army Corps of Engineers methods.

- 6 additional surveys used to characterize biological resources at College Lake. Survey data for referenced area on east side of lake reevaluated, area changed from farmed wetland to seasonal wetland.

- **With Project** (Impact BR-4):
  - Composition, characteristics of wetland habitats would change at lowest lake elevation (longer inundation)
  - Total area of aquatic habitats not expected to decrease. Riparian habitats not expected to decrease although species composition may shift. (DEIR Table 3.4-4, RTC Chapter 4)
Birds and Other Wildlife

• Evaluate impacts to birds from loss of mud flat habitat, changes in vegetation composition

• With Project (Impacts BR-4, BR-7):
  – Areas above 59 ft would largely remain unchanged as habitat: would be farmed or subject to vegetation management (effect similar to current farming practices)
  – Existing conditions: mudflat habitat 3-4 weeks during spring. With Project: mudflats would likely shift to fall at lower elevations, available as food source for fall shorebird migration. Prolonged water storage would prolong lake as aquatic habitat food source.
  – Water levels would continue to fluctuate between years, water year types, leading to high variability in distribution, abundance of annual plant communities.
  – Speculative to predict which annual plants would grow in which areas; not possible nor practical to prescribe composition or abundance of certain plant species.
Birds and Other Wildlife

- Request for additional information on birds
- Effects on steelhead from construction, consider revising adult steelhead migration period
- Effects, mitigation for other special status species
- More data provided on birds (DEIR Appendix BIO, Response SCBC-3, RTC Tables BIO-3, BIO-4)
- Additional information on fish relocation provided (Sierra-7), PV Water in discussions with CDFW re: adult steelhead migration period
- Mitigation measures for western pond turtle, SF dusky footed woodrat revised
Hydrology and Water Quality

- **Concerns regarding EIR evaluation of flood risk due to higher weir, flooding on Holohan Road,**

- **CEQA:** evaluate effects of the Project on the environment. Proposed operations designed not to exacerbate existing flood hazards, consistent with Board-adopted mitigation (2014).

- **With Project** (Impact HYD-5):
  - Weir crest would be kept at 60.1 ft, during wet season (same elevation as existing weir).
  - Modeling: Project would not increase frequency or severity of flooding (i.e., flood water elevation) nor cause flooding to occur in areas where it otherwise would not.
  - Preferred WTP site would not impede or redirect flood flows (located outside FEMA flood hazard zone).
Hydrology and Water Quality

• Concerns regarding flood risk from sedimentation in Salsipuedes Creek

• New topographic survey conducted in channel after 2017 storms

• Because weir would not be raised until after last anticipated significant storm, Project would not substantially alter sedimentation in channel.

• Project would not affect contributions to flow in Salsipuedes Creek and elsewhere downstream at times when higher flows naturally occur throughout the watershed.

• Reductions in discharge of flows from College Lake to Salsipuedes Creek would occur when flows from lake are much lower than peak discharge.
Alternatives

Suggestions for Alternatives

• **Use existing sewers, wastewater treatment plant to convey, treat College Lake water**

• **Construct berm southwest of College Lake**

• **Store College Lake water in aquifer**

• **Route College Lake pipeline along, or convey College Lake water via creek, Pajaro River**

• **Build water treatment plant elsewhere**
  
  – Most suggested alternatives were already considered in DEIR (Section 5.5) or in previous EIRs evaluating College Lake Project
  
  – Suggested alternatives were infeasible, unable to reduce significant impacts or add meaningfully to range of EIR alternatives, and/or did not meet most project objectives
Alternatives

- *EIR did not include enough alternatives*
- PV Water has considered alternatives to the College Lake Project in 4 previous EIRs
- College Lake EIR incorporates alternatives evaluations in prior EIRs, reconsidered some in light of project-specific information on impacts
Agriculture

- **EIR** may underestimate loss of farmland (up to 320 acres of prime agricultural land will be lost).

- **Can College Lake pipeline be installed at greater depth so trees will be permitted above pipeline?**

- Threshold: loss of Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, Unique Farmland (Important Farmland) a significant impact.

- Not all land within College Lake basin is Important Farmland.

- Impact LU-1: potential conversion of Important Farmland up to ~198 acres (DEIR Table 3.2-4). Area of College Lake Reclamation District (320 acres) ≠ area of Important Farmland estimated to be converted.

- PV Water proposes to install pipelines with 5 feet of cover; burying pipelines deeper would substantially increase cost.
Other Comments

- Traffic
- Mosquito Abatement
- Permits and approvals
- Aesthetics
- Non-CEQA Topics
  - Property Acquisition and Compensation
  - Opinions on the Project
  - Other PV Water Activities
# College Lake habitat changes with Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elevation</th>
<th>Change in inundation</th>
<th>Anticipated Changes in Habitats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 50-57 ft  | +3-4 mos             | • Farmed wetland* → Open water (11/1-July or Aug.), Mudflat with sparse seasonal wetland vegetation  
• Riparian Forest: no habitat type change, shifts in species composition, abundance  
• *Seasonal wetland: no habitat type change but subject to annual mowing, tilling → sparser vegetation dominated by annual species  
• Vegetation mgt. would maintain open water, mudflat habitat, prevent woody plant encroachment |
| 57-59 ft  | +2-3 mos             | • Farmed Wetland* → similar to 50-57 ft  
• Riparian Forest: no habitat type change, shifts in species composition  
• Seasonal Wetland: no habitat type change; Vegetation mgt. |
| 59-62 ft  | +1-3 mos             | • Farmed Wetland*: no habitat type change. Inundated longer but seasonal crops where, when feasible. Areas not farmed: Vegetation mgt.  
• Riparian forest, seasonal wetland: no change. |
| 62-64 ft  | +1-2 wks, not continuous | • Farmed Wetland*: similar to 59-62.  
• Riparian forest/scrub, seasonal wetland: no change.  
• Annual grassland → seasonal wetland  
• Agriculture → Farmed wetland |

* Consists of open water (11/1-3/31), seasonal wetland (4/1-5/31), agriculture (6/1-10/31)
Next Steps:

- October 16, 2019 Board Hearing:
  - Board to consider certifying EIR
  - Board to consider approving the Project

- As part of project approval, Board would:
  - Adopt Findings
  - Adopt Statement of Overriding Considerations
  - Adopt Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program
Questions?

Thank You